G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901–02
191.
(Madras)

File No. 137

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning arrears of pay due to Varaha-Swamiengar, Parapathegar of the Sri Ranganatha-Swami Temple at Srirangapatam-

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

Arrears of pay due to Varahaswamiengar, Parapathegar of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Sreerangapatam —

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Letter No. 47 Dated 6th August 1906.

Varahaswamiengar who was the Parapathegar in the Sri Chamundeswari temple on the Chamundi hill at Mysore on a pay of Rs 12½ per mensem was transferred as Valagudi Parapathegar to the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Srirangapatam for certain irregularities on his part ~~made~~ in the Muzrai Accounts of the former temple. The pay of the valagudi Parapathegar of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple was Rs 6/- before the Revision of the Jasdik Patti and it was subsequently raised to Rs 12/- during the revision. The Deputy Commissioner

Rule No. 1369 dt. 18. 4. 1898.

M

N

2

File No. of Mazrai Secretariat.

Says that the former Valagudi Parapathegar was attending to the duties of gumasta and tholasi posts in the temple and that he was Hunsfore getting 6¼ Rs in addition to his post which carried Rs 6/- and that the pay of Rs 6¼ of the posts referred to was disbursed to the persons who attended the duties. He submits for sanction 2 bills amounting to Rs. 133-5-8 for the arrears of pay due to Varahaswamiengar prepared in accordance with the Jadi Patties (old and New) at Rs 6/- before the revision and at Rs 12/- after the revision and adds that if the Government be pleased to sanction at Rs 12/- for the whole period, the Charge will be an extra cost to the temple. He further says that no mention of the pay was made in the Rubkari cited above transferring Varahaswamiengar to Srirangapatna temple.

But Varahaswamiengar claims his pay at Rs 12 per mensem for the period of his employment in the temple at Srirangapatna prior to the revision of the Jadi Patti, and states that his

GPB—2,000—13-2-05

Muz 84]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Predecessor was ordering
Submit for orders

RS
28/8/06

The amount available
at the credit of the Sri
Ranganathaswami temple
Dalak
as per Accounts for the month
of August 1906 was Rs 8848-9-10.

RS

There is reason in the
Rl Petnr claim. The amount
claimed by him, which amounts
to about 200 Rs, may, it is
submitted, be sanctioned.

Munaf Sahib
27/11/06

248
Subject to the 2nd/r
Yes
Draft accpt. EA 29/11/06

Draft 8/12/06

	N	Mu:tai Secretariat.

MGCPB—2,500—1-5-05

Muz. 81]

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No.	of 190

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

R. 450 dtd 21/11/1902
15-9-5
& 484

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 981

dated 12. 4. 1902 received at the Dewan's Office from Parpattegar Varadaswami Iyengar of Sreerangapatana ~~complaining that he has~~ not in receipt of his pay for the last two years

praying for the disbursement of the arrears of his pay for the last 2 yrs

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Deputy Comm.r Mysore District for a full report!

By Order,

Dft

Secretary

BANGALORE,
August 1902

Dy Muzrai Secretary
Muzrai Dept

Ex

Ent to Petr

2101 Dj 4.1.1904. Encl
Dj 2102. — 4 —

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

Mmz: 15-95 MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 1270

dated 1 1 1904 received at the Dewan's Office from Parapathegar Varahaswamiengar of Seerangapatam praying for the disbursement of the arrears of his pay for the last 2 years

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Deputy Comr Mysore District, in continuation of this Office memo No. 433 Dj 4th September 1902 with a request that the report therein called for may be expedited.

By Order,

Dft 20/1

Deputy Secretary

Muzrai Dept

BANGALORE, 190

20/1/04

Encl to the petnr. Dft

MGCBP—500—19-6 02

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
~~Deputy~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated

Despatched 21st July 1904

Received

General No. 403
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. ~

This Office No 4339/4 dt 4th September 1902 calling for report regarding the non-disbursement of arrears of pay due to Parapathegar Varahaswamiengar of Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Seerangapatana.

I has the honor to draw attention to the above & to request that the report thereon called for ~~should~~ may be submitted ~~as early as possible~~ without further date R.O.

dated 19/7/04 Dft 19/7/04 Secretary

MGCPD—1000-21-1-04

Government

MYSORE ~~DEWAN'S OFFICE~~

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Nos 529 to 530

The accompanying Petition No. 239

ated 17th July 1904 received at the ~~Dewan's~~ Office from Parpathesan Varaha. Swamiengar of Seringapatam praying for the disbursement of his pay for one & 2 years since his transfer from the Chamundi Hills and stating that his repeated applications to the ~~is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the~~ District and Taluk authorities have not had the desired effect, is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore D.C. in continuation of this office docket No 403 dated 28th July 1904, with a request that the report called for may be submitted early.

By Order,

28/7/04

~~Deputy~~ Secretary

Muzrai Dept.

BANGALORE,
30-7-1904

Ds

27/7/04

MGCBP—500—19-6-02

Early

To

M. Kuttaraj Urs Esq
M.A.,
Dy Commr, Mysore

My dear Sir,

I beg to invite your attention to this Office communications noted in the margin regarding the arrears of hire due to Varadarajaswamy Iyengar Parupattegar of the Sri Ranganatha-swami Temple at Srirangapatna and to request that you will be so good as to expedite the submission of the reports already called for. The

Parupattegr

No 433 dated 14th Sept: 1902
No 2101 dated 21st Janry '04
No 403 dated 21st July '04
No 529 dated 28th Do —

unusually impressed to me

in friendship which I was

at Swarnagopuram on

the 13th of last month —

Yours sincerely

14/11/64

14/11/64

Mus. F. 15-1895

Mysore
15th November
1904.

My dear Sir,

In reply to your D. O. letter of the 14th Instant regarding the arrears of pay due to Varahaswami Iyengar Parpatteyar of the Sri Ranga natha swami temple at Seringapatam, I have to state that the report, called for from the Divisional Asst. Commr. of French Rocks, has not yet,

been received in spite of the marginally noted re-minders. The Divisional Offi has again been asked to expe-dite the submission of the report referred to. On receipt of this reply, the matter will receive early attention.

Yours sincerely

L. Anantaswami Rao Esq BA
Secretary to Govt., Mysore
Department.
Bangalore

No 84 dated 13th Sept. '02.
" 534 " 5th Feb. '04.
" 139 " 25th July '04
" 171 " 2d August

Chelvar

~~R.K~~



1904-05

From L. Kandaraj Urs Sig/BA
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To L. Ananta Sami Rao Esq
Secretary to Govt
Mysore Department

Dated 3rd December 1904

Despatched

Received

General No. 164
No.

Enclosure No. One file

Submitting for sanction two bills amounting to Rs. 121-5-8 & Rs. 12/- respectively being the arrears of pay due to Varahaswamiayar Purohithar of Melkote Ranga Swami temple at Seringapatam —

Ks
5/12/04
Refers

Submitting sanctioning the bills, the cost being met from the funds of the Sri Ramanuja Swami temple

Ks
12/1/05

Three bills relate to the years 1898, 1899 & 1900 Please put up a clear note as to how the amounts have become due

31/1/05

Deputy Commissioner's
Office
Mysore ~~District~~
Inam Department

Dated Mysore 30th November 1904
~~5th~~ Decem.ber

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esq, B.A
- Secretary to the Government of
Mysore in the Inam Department.

Sir,

Adverting to your memorandum No. 433 dated 4th September 1902 calling for a report re the arrears of pay due to one Varahaswamiengar, Parpattegar of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringa-patam, I have the honor to submit herewith for sanction 2 bills amounting to Rs. 121-5-8 and Rs. 12/- respectively due to the said Varahaswamiengar.

2. The connected records ending with the French Rocks Division Assistant Commissioner's yadi No. 650 dated 23rd November 1904 are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

No 3580 Ecn/24/file

Dy 28.3.05.

The Dy Commr
Mysore District

Dated 26th March 1905.

His letter no 164/2101
dated 3rd December 1904
submitting for sanction
2 bills for Rs 121-5-8
and Rs 12 respectively,
being the amount of hire
due to Sampathayya &
Varadachariar for carriage
from the 25th August
1898
to the end of October 1900
and for September 1903

With reference to the
above I have the honor

to observe that the rate
of Rs 6 per mensem as
the pay drawn by him from
the 25th August 1898 to
the end of October 1899
is allowed in the bill
while for the other
months a rate of Rs 12
per mensem is entered.
The latter rate being
in accordance with the
revised Tamil Patti
no explanation is required
But as regards the
former rate, which
is only Rs 6 per mensem, either
the Dy Comm's letter
or the bill submitted
therewith does not explain
why only Rs 6 ~~is~~ are allowed.
Garparthyar Varadhaswamiengar

Claims the hours for this period at the rate of Rs 12 per month and has given a statement before the Auditors to that effect (vide page no 8 in the Vernacular records submitted by the Dy Comrs). A clear explanation on this point is requested. The bills are therefore returned unsanctioned.

The other enclosures are also herewith forwarded —

By order

Sd/-

27/2/05

Secretary

Municipal Dept

Ks

26/2/05

Muz. 1 A.]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To The Dy: Commr
Mysore Dt

Dated 6th December 1905

Despatched Camp. Sakrepatna.

Received

General No. 2603
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 2

Has the honor to forward herewith for 2 Petitions nos 2376 & 2377 of 27th nov 1905 received from Varahaswamiengal Parpathegar of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Srirangapatam for the arrears of pay due to him and to request that an early report may be submitted to this Office

2. Reference is invited to this

M.G.C.P.B.—1,000—19-7-05.

Office Dft Mst no 3588
d/28 March 1905 - on the
subject.

By order
5/12/05
Secretary

5/12/05

Comp Lukinbeal

1906 07

From

Dr. Kaviarajendra Sastri B.A.
Superintendent under
Mysore Archaeologist

To

L. Ramakrishnaiah Sastri B.A.
Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Finance Department

Dated 6th August 06

Despatched

Received

General No. 47
No.

Enclosure No. 1 letter

Submits report on the arrears of pay due to Varadachariar Iyengar, Parapattegar of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Srirangapatam

MGCPB—50 reams—6-12-02.

No 47
15-

Office of the Dy Commissioner
Seapore District.
Dated Seapore 6th August 1906

To

K. Anant Narayana Rao Esquire B A
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Mysore Department, Bangalore

Sir,

In reply to your docket No: 3580 dated the 28th March 1906 calling for an explanation as to why only Rs 6/- have been allowed in the bill for Rs 121-5-8 being the arrears of pay due to Paripathegar Varahaswamiengar submitted to you for sanction with another bill for Rs 12/-, I have the honor to state that it is reported that prior to the revision, the Chikka parpathegar of the temple was getting in all Rs 12.4.0 (Rs 6/- being the pay of the Parpathegar and Rs 6-4-0 being the pay of the Thulsi and Gumasta appointments).

2. As no mention of Varahaswami Iyengar's pay was made either in order No: 1571 dated 22.4.98 issued to Varahaswamiengar by the Palace Controller, or, in the Inam Sarelaiya Rubakari No 1369 dated 18.4.98 and as the pay of the Thulsi and Gumastas posts had been discontinued to others who did the duties, the

ale

rate of Rs 6/- per measure which was the pay of the Chikka Parvagar before the revision has been allowed in the bill

3. If Government be pleased to allow the rate of Rs 12/- per measure instead of Rs 6/- as claimed by Varahaswamiengar, the charge will be an extra cost to Government since the pay of the Thulsi and Gurava-la posts has been discussed to others.

4. The file of vernacular papers bearing on the subject and the 3 petitions received with your dockets as noted in the margin are herewith returned

2603 & 6.12.05
5071 & 28.4.06 / 2.5.06

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Inwards

Read:—

Correspondence ending
with Letter no 47 Dated 6th August
1906 from the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District, regarding the
arrears of pay due to Varaha-
swamiengar, Parapathegar of
the Sri Ranganatha Swami
temple at Srirangapatam.

———"———

Order thereon no ———"———

Varahaswamiengar
~~who~~ formerly was the Parapathegar of
the Sri Chamundeswari temple
on the Chamundi hill at Mysore
on a pay of Rs. 12/- per mensem,
~~was transferred as Valagadi~~
Ranganathan was Valagadi Parapathegar of the Sri Ranganatha
Swami temple at Srirangapatam
~~for certain arrears due to the~~
~~Inwards Accounts of the former temple~~
monthly emoluments
12¼ in all ++

1 copy
3 copies
8.12.06

They were mutually transferred in the interests of the temple service in 1898.

// The pay attached to the Valagudi Parapathegarship of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple was Rs. 6/- only before the Revision of the Jasdik Patti and it was subsequently raised to Rs 12/-. The Deputy Comr. reports that the former Valagudi Parapathegar was attending to the duties of Gumasta and Thulasi posts in the temple and that he was therefore getting 6¼ Rs in addition to his post. Accordingly, the Deputy Commissioner submits for sanction 2 bills amounting to Rs. 133-5-8 for the arrears of pay due to Varahaswamiengar prepared in accordance with the Jasdik Patties (old and new) at Rs 6/- before the revision and at Rs 12/- after the revision. He further reports that no mention of the former incumbent's getting Rs 6¼ for in addition Thulasi and Gumasta duties also - on the transfer of Varahaswamie to this temple, separate persons were appointed by the two Amildars for the latter duties, to whom the emoluments attached thereto were paid and

as Parapathi Rs 6

of the appointment
was the only temporary
He reported to receive
payment at Rs 6 per-
quinsem on the ground
that he had been in receipt
of 12 Rs while in the
Sri Chamundeswari
temple and that
he was transferred
by the Sub-Magis-
trate to the Sree-
rangapatam temple
on the same pay - The
amount temporarily
remained undrawn
at Rs 6 per mensem
from the 25th August
1898
to the end of May 1899
and for October 1899

3 // Subsequently during

4/

the provision of the
establishment of the Saranayapatam
temple, the pay attached
to the Valangadi Sarpathgar's
post was raised from
Rs 6 to Rs 12 and the incumbent
began to receive his
pay at the revised
from next day forward -
rate, the pay at Rs 12
per mensem remained
however undisbursed
for some reason
or other for the months
of June to October 1900
and for ~~November~~ September 1903.

4//2 bills have been received
from the Dy Comm^r Nilgiri Rs 133-5-8, ~~for Bundles~~ and at Rs ~~12~~ 6 per mensem
for Rs 12 during the
services of Varadhaswamy Iyer for
prior to the revision
and the



other at- Rs 12 per
measure, after it;
and the Dy Comr
states that these
amounts remained
undisbursed owing
to the circumstances
explained above —

Rs 121-5-8 being
the arrears due to him
at- Rs 12 per measure
after it
which are the amounts
available according
to the original and
the revised scales —

But- Varadhaswamiya
claims
this boy
at- Rs 12 per measure
for the whole period in
question, because he
represents that he was transferred from
the Channadesawmi temple that boy —

5. In the order issued by the Magistrate something is said about the huge, but ~~the~~ having the peculiar circumstances into ~~it~~ the ground that each ~~has~~ to work the same ~~rate~~ rate

under the circumstances explained above we propose to direct that Varadha-rajaswamy be paid his arrears of hire at Rs 12 per session for the whole period in question, the excess charge being made from the earnings of the two Ramanathaswami

at once Rs 6 or Rs 12 for or

temple — The amount

this income payable to him at this

rate amount

to Rs 194-11-4 as detailed

in the margin, which

is hereby sanctioned

to be charged

from the savings of

the Sudr.

x

From 25th August 1898 to the end of May 1899 at Rs 12 per mensem } 110-11-4

For Oct 1899 — 12-0-0

From June to Oct 1900 at Rs 12 per mensem } 60-0-0

For September 1903 — 12-0-0

Total Rs 194-11-4

Rs 133-5-8 From the under-drawn amount of the temple Sudr

61-5-8 From the savings of the Sudr

194-11-4

The bills read from the Dy Comr

A bills from this amount

are accordingly revised and forwarded to the

8/

Comptroller for
audit and return
to the Dy Comrs for
payment.

(II cis order)

ps
10/12/06

9.

The Dy Comrs responsible
returning the cases

The Comptroller to forward
with the sanctioned bill

Asst to Varadharajan

Proceedings of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

U Z R A I.

Read:-

Correspondence ending with Letter No. 47, dated 6th August 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, regarding the arrears of pay due to Varadhaswamiengar, Parpathegar of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Srirangapatam.

Order thereon No. 3227-8 Dated Bangalore 20th December 1906.

Varahaswamiengar was formerly the Parpathegar of Sri Chamundeswari temple on the Chamundi hill at Mysore on a pay of Rs. 12/- per mensem and Ranganathar was the Valagudi Parpathegar of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Srirangapatam getting monthly emoluments of Rs. 12½ small. They were mutually transferred in the interests of the temple service in 1896.

2 The pay attached to the Valagudi Parpathegarship of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple was only Rs. 6, but the former incumbent had been getting Rs. 6½ in addition for doing Thalari and Gumasta duties also. On the transfer of Varahaswamiengar to this temple, separate persons were appointed by the then Amildar for the latter duties to whom the emoluments attached thereto were paid and Varahaswmiengar had to receive only Rs6 as Parpathegar which was the pay proper of the appointment. He refused

to receive payment at Rs. 6 per mensem on the ground that he had been in receipt of Rs. 12/- while in the Sri Chamundeswari temple and that he was transferred by the late Huzrai Superintendent to the Srirangapatam temple on the same pay. The amount therefore remained undrawn at Rs. 6 per mensem from the 25th August 1898 to the end of May 1899 and for October 1899

3 Subsequently during the revision the Establishment of the Srirangapatam temple the pay attached to the Walagudi Parpathegar's post was raised from Rs. 6 to Rs. 12/- and the incumbent began to receive his pay at the rivised rate from that day forward. The pay at Rs. 12/- per mensem remained however undisbursed for some reason or other for the months of June to October 1900 and for September 1903

4 Two bills have been received from the Deputy Commissioner aggregating Rs. 133-5-8 one at Rs. 6 per mensem for the period prior to the revision and the other at Rs. 12 per mensem after it; and the Deputy Commissioner states that these amounts remained undisbursed owing to the circumstances explained above. But Varahaswamiengar claims his pay at Rs. 12 per mensem for the whole period in question because he represents that he was trans-ferred from the Sri Chamundeswari temple on that pay.

5 Under the circumstances explained above, the Government are pleased to direct that Varahaswamiengar be paid his arrears of pay at Rs. 12 per mensem for the whole period in question, the excess charge being met from the savings of the Sri Ranganatha-

swami